Technical Standard Order

Subject: COCKPIT VOICE RECORDER EQUIPMENT

1. PURPOSE. This technical standard order (TSO) is for manufacturers of cockpit voice recorder (CVR) equipment applying for a TSO authorization or letter of design approval (LODA). In it, we (the Federal Aviation Administration, or FAA) tell you what minimum performance standard (MPS) your CVR equipment must first meet for approval and identification with the applicable TSO marking.

2. APPLICABILITY. This TSO affects new applications submitted after its effective date.

   a. Prior revisions to this TSO are no longer effective. Generally we will not accept applications submitted for prior revisions of this TSO after this TSO’s effective date. However, we may do so up to six months after this TSO’s effective date, if we know that you were working against the earlier MPS before this change became effective.

   b. CVR equipment approved under a previous TSO authorization may still be manufactured under the provisions of their original approval.

   c. Major design changes to CVR equipment approved under this TSO will require a new authorization under this TSO. See Title 14 of the Code of Federal Regulations (14 CFR) § 21.611(b).

   d. TSOs that may be used in conjunction with this TSO are those covering:

      • Flight data recorder equipment,
      • Image recorder equipment,
      • Data link recorder equipment, and
      • Recorder independent power supply.

3. REQUIREMENTS. New models of CVR equipment identified and manufactured on or after the effective date of this TSO must meet the MPS in the European Organization for Civil Aviation Electronics (EUROCAE) document ED-112, Minimum Operational Performance
Specification for Crash Protected Airborne Recorder Systems, dated March 2003. We certify CVR equipment based on the applicable sections of ED-112 that pertain to the CVR type.

See Appendix 1 for size, shape, and identification standards for crash protected enclosures.

a. **Exceptions to ED-112.** We make the following first two exceptions to ED-112 to comply with 14 CFR requirements. The last three items are exceptions to requirements for installation, flight testing, aircraft maintenance, and others that do not pertain to MPS criteria specific to the TSO equipment.

- **Recorder start and stop times, Chapter 2-1.5:** We don’t require Chapter 2-1.5 as part of this TSO. Start and stop times must comply with applicable 14 CFR operational regulations.

- **Recorder location, Chapter 2-5.4.1:** We don’t require Chapter 2-5.4.1 as part of this TSO. Recorder location must comply with applicable 14 CFR certification regulations.

- **Equipment Installation and Installed Performance (Deployable recorders) Chapter 3-4.**

- **Equipment Installation and Installed Performance, Part I-6.**

- Other ED-112 requirements for installation, flight testing, aircraft maintenance, and others that do not pertain to MPS specific criteria.

b. **Functionality.** This TSO’s standards apply to a device that uses a combination of microphones and other audio and digital inputs to collect and record the aural environment of the cockpit including communications among flight crew members.

c. **Failure Condition Classification.** Failure of the function defined in paragraphs 3 and 3.b of this TSO is a minor failure condition. Develop the system to, at least, the design assurance level equal to this failure condition classification. See appendix 2 of this TSO.

d. **Functional Qualification.** Demonstrate the required performance listed under the test conditions in ED-112.


f. **Software Qualification.** If the article contains a digital computer, develop the software according to RTCA/DO-178B, *Software Considerations in Airborne Systems and Equipment Certification*, dated December 1, 1992, or the most current revision, and to the design assurance level specified in ED-112, paragraph 2-1.9.
g. **Electronic Hardware Qualification.** If the article contains a complex custom micro-coded component, develop the electronic hardware according to FAA advisory circular (AC) 20-152, *RTCA Inc. Document RTCA/DO-254, Design Assurance Guidance for Airborne Electronic Hardware*. The hardware design assurance level should be consistent with the failure condition classification in paragraph 3.c of this TSO.

h. **Deviations.** We have provisions for using alternate or equivalent means of compliance to the criteria in the MPS of this TSO. If you invoke these provisions, you must show that your equipment maintains an equivalent level of safety. Apply for a deviation under 14 CFR § 21.609 before submitting your data package.

4. **MARKING.**

   a. Mark at least one major component permanently and legibly with the information in 14 CFR § 21.607(d), except:

      (1) 14 CFR § 21.607(d)(2). Use the name, type, and part number. Do not use the model designation.

      (2) 14 CFR § 21.607(d)(3). Use both the date of manufacture and product serial number.

   b. Mark the following permanently and legibly with at least the manufacturer’s name, subassembly part number, and the TSO number:

      (1) Each component that is easily removable (without hand tools),

      (2) Each interchangeable element, and

      (3) Each subassembly of the article that you determined may be interchangeable.

   c. If the component contains a digital computer, then the part number must include hardware and software identification. Or, you can use a separate part number for hardware and software. Either way, you must include a means to show the modification status.

      NOTE: Similar software versions, approved to different software levels, must be differentiated by part number.

   d. If applicable, identify deviations granted to the article by marking “Deviation. See installation/instruction manual (IM)” after the TSO number. You can abbreviate the marking to “Dev. See IM.”

   e. When applicable, identify the equipment as an incomplete system or state that the article performs functions beyond those described in paragraphs 3 and 3.b of this TSO.

5. **APPLICATION DATA REQUIREMENTS.** As a TSO manufacturer-applicant, you must give the FAA aircraft certification office (ACO) manager responsible for your facilities a statement of conformance, as specified in 14 CFR § 21.605(a)(1) and one copy each of the
following technical data to support our design and production approval. (Under 14 CFR § 21.617(a)(2), LODA applicants submit the same data through their civil aviation authority:)

a. Installation procedures and limitations in an installation/instruction manual (IM), sufficient to ensure that the CVR equipment, when installed according to the installation procedures, still meets this TSO’s requirements. Describe any deviations in detail. If needed, identify equipment by part number, version, revision, and criticality level of software, classification for use, and environmental categories.

b. Installation procedures and limitations in an IM, sufficient to ensure that the CVR equipment, when installed according to installation procedures, still meets this TSO’s requirements. Limitations must identify unique aspects of the installation. Finally, the limitations must include a note with the following statement:

The conditions and tests for TSO approval of this article are minimum performance standards. Those installing this article, on or in a specific type or class of aircraft, must determine that the aircraft installation conditions are within the TSO standards. TSO articles must have separate approval for installation in an aircraft. The article may be installed only in accordance with the applicable airworthiness requirements and, if applicable, the requirements of 14 CFR part 43.

c. Schematic drawings of the installation procedures.

d. Wiring diagrams of the installation procedures.

e. List of components, by part number, that makes up the CVR equipment complying with the standards in this TSO. Include vendor part number cross-references, when applicable.

f. A component maintenance manual (CMM), covering periodic maintenance, calibration and repair, for the continued airworthiness of installed CVR equipment. Instructions should include recommended inspection intervals and service life. Describe the details of deviations granted, as noted in paragraph 5.a of this TSO.

g. Material and process specifications list.

h. The quality control system description (QCS) required by 14 CFR §§ 21.143 and 21.605(a)(3), including functional test specifications. The QCS should ensure that you will detect any change to the equipment that could adversely affect compliance with the TSO MPS and reject the item accordingly. (Not required for LODA applicants.)

i. Manufacturer’s TSO qualification test report.

j. Nameplate drawing with the information required by paragraph 4 of this TSO.

k. List of drawings and processes (including revision level), to define the article’s design. For a minor change, you only need to make the revision to the list available on request.
1. An environmental qualifications form as described in RTCA/DO-160E, for each component of the system.

m. If the article contains a digital computer: a plan for software aspects of certification (PSAC), software configuration index, and software accomplishment summary. We recommend that you submit the PSAC early in the software development process. Early submittal allows us to quickly resolve issues, such as partitioning and determining software levels.

n. If the article contains a complex custom micro-coded component: a plan for hardware aspects of certification (PHAC), hardware verification plan, top-level drawing, and hardware accomplishment summary. We recommend that you submit the PHAC early in the software development process. Early submittal allows us to quickly resolve issues.

6. MANUFACTURER DATA REQUIREMENTS. Besides the data given directly to us, you must have the following technical data available for review by the responsible ACO or civil aviation authority:

a. The functional qualification specifications for qualifying each production article to ensure compliance with this TSO.

b. Equipment calibration procedures.

c. Corrective maintenance procedures within 12 months after TSO authorization.

d. Schematic drawings.

e. Wiring diagrams.

f. Material and process specifications.

g. The results of the environmental qualification tests conducted per RTCA/DO-160E.

h. If the article contains a digital computer, the appropriate documentation defined in RTCA/DO-178B, including all data supporting the applicable objectives in Annex A, Process Objectives and Outputs by Software Level.

i. If the article contains a complex micro-coded component, the appropriate hardware life cycle data in combination with design assurance level, as defined in AC 20-152.

7. FURNISHED DATA REQUIREMENTS. If giving one or more articles to one entity (such as an operator or repair station), provide the following for each article manufactured under this TSO:

a. One copy of the data in paragraphs 5.a through 5.f of this TSO. Add other data needed for the proper installation, certification, and use, or for continued airworthiness, or both, of the CVR equipment.
b. One copy of the data in paragraphs 5.k through 5.n, if the article performs functions beyond those described in paragraphs 3 and 3.b of this TSO.

c. One copy of the data in ED-112 paragraph 2-1.3.4.

8. HOW TO GET REFERENCED DOCUMENTS.


b. Order EUROCAE documents from EUROCAE, 17 rue Hamelin, 75116 Paris France. Telephone 33 (0) 1 4505 7188, fax 33 (0) 1 4505 7230. You can also order from the EUROCAE Internet website at www.eurocae.org.


e. You can find a current list of technical standard orders on the FAA Internet website Regulatory and Guidance Library at www.airweb.faa.gov/rgl. At the same site you will find the TSO Index of Articles, and if you select “Advisory Circulars,” you’ll be able to view and download all referenced FAA ACs.

Susan J.M. Cabler

Susan J. M. Cabler
Acting Manager, Aircraft Engineering Division
Aircraft Certification Service
APPENDIX 1. FEDERAL AVIATION ADMINISTRATION
STANDARDS FOR CRASH PROTECTED ENCLOSURE

1. Physical Size. As technology allows for increased miniaturization, manufacturers continue to shrink the crash enclosure. Now, the enclosure can be very difficult to find in wreckage. The sum of the height (a), width (b), and depth (c) of the crash enclosure must be 9 inches or greater. Each of these major dimensions must be 2 inches or greater. Here are five examples of a crash enclosure and the minimum required dimensions:

NOTE: The dimensions of the crash protected enclosure shall not include the underwater locator beacon (ULB) or its attachment hardware.

2. Identification. Paint the crash enclosure according to 14 CFR §§ 23.1457(g), 25.1457(g), 27.1457(g), or 29.1457(g) and mark it identically to paragraph 4 of this TSO.

Figure 1. Crash enclosure shaped like a rectangular prism.

a, b, c >= 2.0 inches

a + b + c >= 9.0 inches
Figure 2. Crash enclosure shaped like an elliptical cylinder.

Apply minimum dimensions to the major axis (a), minor axis (b), and length (c) of the enclosure.

\[
a, b, c \geq 2.0 \text{ inches} \\
a + b + c \geq 9.0 \text{ inches}
\]
Figure 3. Crash enclosure shaped like a sphere.

Height, width, and depth are all equal to the diameter of the sphere which must be equal to or greater than 3.0 inches because of the \( a + b + c \geq 9 \) inches, requirement.

\[
d_1 \geq 3.0 \text{ inches}
\]

\[
d_1 + d_2 + d_3 \geq 9.0 \text{ inches}
\]
Figure 4. Crash enclosure shaped like an ellipsoid hemisphere.

Dimensions a, b, and c are not necessarily equal.

\[
a, b, c \geq 2.0 \text{ inches}
\]

\[
a + b + c \geq 9.0 \text{ inches}
\]
Figure 5. Crash enclosure is generically shaped.

Width (a) is the largest width of the enclosure, depth (b) is the largest depth of the enclosure and height (c) is the largest height of the enclosure. Take each of these major dimensions from the outer surface of the enclosure. Do not include any protrusions such as mounting flanges or plates.

\[
\begin{align*}
  a, b, c & \geq 2.0 \text{ inches} \\
  a + b + c & \geq 9.0 \text{ inches}
\end{align*}
\]
APPENDIX 2. DESIGN ASSURANCE LEVEL GUIDANCE

Use the following references to develop design assurance guidance for failure condition classifications.

- For systems, use SAE International’s Aerospace Recommended Practice (ARP) 4754, *Considerations for Highly Integrated or Complex Aircraft Systems*, dated November 1, 1996.


- For software, use RTCA/DO-178B, *Software Considerations in Airborne Systems and Equipment Certification*, dated December 1, 1992, and