

## DOCUMENT REVIEW LOG

1. Document No.:		2. Project Lead:		3. Reviewing Office:		4. Date of Review:		5. [reserved]	
TSO-173a		Brad Miller		AIR-130		4/18/12			
6.	7. Page and Paragraph No.:	8. Comment:		9. Reason For Comment:		10. Suggested Change:		11. [reserved]	
#									
1.	P.1, para 3	MOPS specification not specific enough.		Should specify specific sections to map TSO to MOPS.		Should read: “New models of nickel-cadmium, nickel metal-hydride, and lead-acid batteries manufactured on or after the effective date of this TSO must meet the MPS qualification and documentation requirements in sections 2 and 3 of RTCA Inc. document (RTCA/DO)-293A, Minimum Operational Performance Standards (MPS) for Nickel-Cadmium, Nickel Metal-Hydride, and Lead-Acid Batteries, dated December 2, 2009 and as stated in appendix 1 in this TSO.”		Disagree – This is a duplication of Items 3 and 4 and will be covered by the concurrence and update of those items. Also gives impression that only requirements to be followed in RTCA are sections 2 and 3.	
2.	P.1, para 3.a	Installed means “permanently installed.”		Redundant		Delete word “permanently” from sentence.		Non-Concur - “permanently” installed keeps Portable Electronic Devices (PED’s) from being considered as aircraft equipment.	
3.	P.1, para 3.c	MOPS specification not specific enough.		Should specify specific sections to map TSO to MOPS.		Should read: “Demonstrate the required functional performance under the test conditions specified in section 2 of RTCA/DO-293A.”		Concur	
4.	P.1, para 3.d	MOPS specification not specific enough.		Should specify specific sections to map TSO to MOPS.		Should read: “Demonstrate the required performance under the test conditions specified in section 3 of RTCA/DO-293A, using standard environmental conditions and test procedures appropriate for airborne equipment.”		Concur	

## DOCUMENT REVIEW LOG